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Abstract
An innovative and groundbreaking dynamic model is proposed for the solution of the three-dimensional structural analysis problem of a non-linear (non-symmetrical) structure, subjected under seismic forces. The above dynamic analysis problem is reduced to the solution of a system of ordinary differential equations of the second kind and such a system is numerically evaluated by using a special kind of finite elements and by solving the corresponding eigenvalues-eigenvectors problem. An application of structural analysis is finally given to the determination of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a 15-floor building consisting of reinforced concrete and subjected to an horizontal seismic vibration.
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1. Introduction
In a big range of applied mechanics and engineering problems, time dimension is very important factor to be considered. Such typical problems of engineering applications are wave transmission in fluids, transient heat conduction and dynamic behavior of structures. The latter category of applied mechanics problems, is probably the most important for practical applications, and of leading interest for structural analysis theory.

Because of the seismic motion of the soil, a corresponding motion is created to the frame structure. Such a seismic motion affects in many different ways the various structures. Consequently, the first problem to be determined is the action of an earthquake over a structure. As a second problem, the reaction of the structure over the above earthquake has to be determined. These problems are finally reduced to the calculation of the motion for each time, for every point of the structure under study.

Beyond the above, the study of a structural analysis problem needs a series of several steps. First of all the geometry of the structure should be extensively described and then there is a necessity for the description of the solid and section properties of the members. Finally, the dynamic load conditions for which the structure needs to be analyzed, should be described.

During the past years, E.G.Ladopoulos introduced and studied several linear [1] - [6] and non-linear singular integro-differential equations methods [7] - [10] for the solution of many important problems of structural analysis and fluid mechanics. On the contrary, an ordinary differential equations analysis is proposed by the present investigation, for the solution of some generalized dynamic analysis problems for non-linear (non-symmetrical) structures. These problems are reduced to the solution of a system of differential equations of the second kind and such a system is finally numerically solved by using a kind of finite elements.

According to the finite element method, the continuum is divided into a finite number of elements and its behavior is specified by a finite number of parameters. Also, the solution of the complete system as an assembly of its elements results basically the same rules as those applicable to standard discrete problems. Over the past years, many studies have been published on the application of finite elements for the numerical evaluation of problems in structural analysis and many other fields of engineering applications. Among the survey investigations on finite-element methods, are mentioned those by J.T.Oden [11] - [13], E.L.Wilson [14], K.J.Bathe and E.L.Wilson.
By the current research a new dynamic model is investigated for the solution of the three-dimensional structural analysis problem of a non-linear (non-symmetrical) structure under seismic forces. This problem is reduced to the solution of a system of ordinary differential equations of the second kind and such a system is numerically evaluated by using finite elements and solving the corresponding eigenvalues-eigenvectors problem.

The proposed finite element method will be much smaller in degree of freedom size than commercial software, because classical linear stiffness matrices of 3-dimensional beam element have six degrees of freedom per node. The new model is only applied for buildings and thus some special beam elements are used, which differs from the usual 3-D elements for structures.

Finally an application is given to the determination of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a 15-floor building consisting of reinforced concrete and subjected under horizontal seismic vibration. For the calculation of the above eigenvalues and eigenvectors, the Jacobi transformation method of a real symmetric matrix is used. This method consists of a sequence of orthogonal similarity transformations, known as the Jacobi rotations.

2. Non-linear Dynamic Analysis

The multistory frame structure of Figure 1 is considered, under the following assumptions:

I. The masses of this structure are concentrated in the levels of plates.

II. The material of columns performs Hooke's law of elasticity.

III. Simple harmonic seismic forces are applied to the frame structure.

If the above mentioned assumptions are fulfilled, then the multistory frame structure is reduced to the solution of a system of \( n \) masses \( m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_i, \ldots, m_n \) which is concentrated in the levels of girders and connected together and with the ground, by the elastic joints \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_i, \ldots, k_n \).

![Fig.1 Multistory frame structure subjected to seismic forces](image)
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In addition, consider by $w_{ot}$ the deflection of the foundation from its initial position at time $t$, and $w_i$ ($i=1,2,\ldots,n$) the corresponding deflection of mass $m_i$ ($i=1,2,\ldots,n$), at the same time. Furthermore, let by $u_{it}$ the displacement of mass $m_i$ at this time $t$, too (Figure 2).

![Fig. 2](image1)

**Fig. 2** The multistory frame structure is reduced to a system of masses $m_i$ concentrated in the levels of girders.

So, from Figure 2 it is well seen, that following relation between the deflections and the displacements is valid:

$$w_i = w_{oi} + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, equations of motion for the masses $m_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ are considered. Thus, in the mass $m_i$, of the $i$-floor are effected the elastic force of restoring $R_{ii}$, the force of damping $A_{fi}$ and the force of inertia $m_i \ddot{w}_{iti}$. (Figure 3)

![Fig. 3](image2)

**Fig. 3** Dynamic model of multistory frame structure
The following equality is further valid between the forces on the mass \( m_i \):

\[
A_{ii} + R_{ii} = m_i \ddot{w}_{ii}
\]  

(2.2)

The behavior of the multistory frame structure is elastic, and thus the forces \( A_{ii} \) and \( R_{ii} \) are linear functions of the displacements \( u_{ii} \) and the velocities \( \dot{u}_{ii} \), correspondingly, of the following forms:

\[
R_{ii} = -\left[ k_{ii}u_{ii} + k_{i2}u_{i2} + \ldots + k_{in}u_{in} \right]
\]  

(2.3)

\[
A_{ii} = -\left[ c_{ii}\dot{u}_{ii} + c_{i2}\dot{u}_{i2} + \ldots + c_{in}\dot{u}_{in} \right]
\]  

(2.4)

in which \( k_{ij} \) and \( c_{ij} \) \((j = 1,2,\ldots,n)\) denote the stiffness and coefficients of damping, correspondingly. The negative sign in eqs (2.3) and (2.4) is due to the opposite direction of the forces from the positive displacements and velocities.

So, by replacing (2.3) and (2.4) in (2.2), then the equation of dynamic motion for the mass \( m_i \) becomes as following:

\[
m_i \ddot{w}_{ii} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij}\dot{u}_{jj} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij}u_{jj} = 0 \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n
\]  

(2.5)

while for the totality of masses, the following system of \( n \) differential equations of the second kind is valid:

\[
m_1 \ddot{w}_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{1j}\dot{u}_{jj} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{1j}u_{jj} = 0
\]

\[
m_2 \ddot{w}_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{2j}\dot{u}_{jj} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{2j}u_{jj} = 0
\]  

(2.6)

\[
m_n \ddot{w}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{nj}\dot{u}_{jj} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{nj}u_{jj} = 0
\]

Consequently, the above mentioned system of ordinary differential equations can be written in matrix form, by introducing following vectors:

\[
u_i = \left[ u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \ldots, u_{in} \right]^T
\]  

(2.7a)

\[
w_i = \left[ w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \ldots, w_{in} \right]^T = u_i + \dot{\delta}w_{0i}
\]  

(2.7b)

\[
\dot{\delta} = [1,1,\ldots,1]^T
\]  

(2.7c)

In addition, by using eqs (2.7a) to (2.7c), then the system of differential equations (2.6) reduces to:

\[
M\ddot{\delta}_i + C\dot{\delta}_i + K\delta_i = 0
\]  

(2.8)
and by replacing \( \tilde{w}_r = \dot{u}_r + \delta \tilde{w}_0 \) takes the form:

\[
M \ddot{u}_r + C \dot{u}_r + K u_r = -(M \cdot \delta) \tilde{w}_0
\]

(2.9)

where:

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & \cdots & k_{1n} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & \cdots & k_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{n1} & k_{n2} & \cdots & k_{nn} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(2.10)

with \( M, C, \) and \( K \) the mass, damping and stiffness matrices, correspondingly.

The stiffness will be determined by following next method. Consequently, consider that the displacements \( u_{it} \) are due to the external loads \( P_{it} = -R_{it} \). Then because of (2.3) one obtains: (Fig. 4)

\[
P_{it} = k_{i1} u_{1} + k_{i2} u_{2} + \cdots + k_{ij} u_{j} + \cdots + k_{ii} u_{ii} + \cdots + k_{in} u_{ni}
\]

(2.11)

From (2.11) follows that if by the use of girders all floors of the multistory frame structure are fixed, then \( k_{ij} \) will be the proper external force for the unity displacement \( u_{it} = 1 \) of the floor \( i \) and \( k_{ij} \) will be the corresponding reaction in the girder of the floor \( i \) for the unity displacement \( u_{j} = 1 \) of the floor \( j \). (Fig. 4)
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So, by the former unity displacement of the floor \( i \) are calculated the stiffnesses \( k_{ij} \) (\( \lambda = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)) of the column \( i \) of the stiffness matrix \( K \), and by the latter by the same way are calculated the stiffnesses \( k_{ij} \) (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)) of column \( j \). Consequently, by successive unity displacement of the total number of floors of the multistory frame structure are calculated all the columns of the stiffness matrix. On the other hand, according to Betti's reciprocal theorem the equalities \( k_{ij} = k_{ji} \) are equal, and thus the stiffness matrix is always symmetric.

3. Natural Vibration and Eigenvalues

In order the system of ordinary differential equations (2.9) to be solved, there is a necessity for the study of the natural vibration, according to which some special solutions are calculated, which are independent from the external seismic excitation. By the above special solutions are simultaneously determined all the dynamic characteristics of the mechanical system and thus the final solution of the problem is obtained.

So, in order to determine the above special solutions, then the following assumptions should be considered:

I. The damping of the system is equal to zero (\( c = 0 \)).
II. The vibration is due to known displacements \( u_{i0} \) and velocities \( \dot{u}_{i0} \) when beginning the calculation of the time (\( t = 0 \)).

If the above mentioned assumptions are fulfilled, then (2.9) takes the following form:

\[
\mathbf{M}\ddot{u}_i + \mathbf{K}u_i = 0
\]

(3.1)

Some solutions of the following form are investigated for the system of ordinary differential equations (3.1):

\[
\mathbf{u}_i = [u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \ldots, u_{in}]^T = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n]^T f_i = \varphi f_i
\]

(3.2)

By substituting (3.2) in (3.1), follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
m_{11} & m_{12} & \ldots & m_{1n} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & \ldots & m_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m_{n1} & m_{n2} & \ldots & m_{nn}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_1 \\
\varphi_2 \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_n
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
k_{11} & k_{12} & \ldots & k_{1n} \\
k_{21} & k_{22} & \ldots & k_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
k_{n1} & k_{n2} & \ldots & k_{nn}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_1 \\
\varphi_2 \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_n
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.3)

and the \( i \) - equation of the above system of differential equations has the following form:

\[
\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \varphi_j \right) \ddot{f}_i + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij} \varphi_j \right) f_i = 0
\]

(3.4)

or further the form:
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\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij} \varphi_j = - \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \varphi_j = \omega^2 \frac{f_i}{f_t} = \omega^2
\]  

(3.5)

in which \( \omega \) denotes the cyclic frequency.

So, from (3.5) follows:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij} \varphi_j = \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \varphi_j \quad , \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n
\]  

(3.6)

which in matrix form can be also written as:

\[
[K - \omega^2 M] \varphi = 0
\]  

(3.7)

or of the form:

\[
[K - \omega^2 M] \varphi = 0
\]  

(3.8)

where (3.8) corresponds to a generalized eigenvalue - eigenvectors problem.

The generalized eigenvalue problem (3.8) may be further written as following:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 k_{11} - \omega^2 m_{11} & k_{12} - \omega^2 m_{12} & \cdots & k_{1n} - \omega^2 m_{1n} \\
 k_{21} - \omega^2 m_{21} & k_{22} - \omega^2 m_{22} & \cdots & k_{2n} - \omega^2 m_{2n} \\
 \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
 k_{n1} - \omega^2 m_{n1} & k_{n2} - \omega^2 m_{n2} & \cdots & k_{nn} - \omega^2 m_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
 \varphi_1 \\
 \varphi_2 \\
 \vdots \\
 \varphi_n
\end{bmatrix}
= 0
\]  

(3.9)

which is an homogeneous system of \( n \) linear equations with unknowns the displacements \( \varphi_i \) and the parameter \( \omega^2 \). In order this system to have non-zero solutions, except the obvious solution \( \varphi_i = 0 \), its determinant should be zero:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 k_{11} - \omega^2 m_{11} & k_{12} - \omega^2 m_{12} & \cdots & k_{1n} - \omega^2 m_{1n} \\
 k_{21} - \omega^2 m_{21} & k_{22} - \omega^2 m_{22} & \cdots & k_{2n} - \omega^2 m_{2n} \\
 \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
 k_{n1} - \omega^2 m_{n1} & k_{n2} - \omega^2 m_{n2} & \cdots & k_{nn} - \omega^2 m_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
= 0
\]  

(3.10)

This determinant will have an expansion of an algebraic equation of \( n \) - degree, with unknowns the \( \omega^2 \), from the solutions of which are calculated the following \( n \) - eigenvalues \( \omega_j^2 \)
Consequently, in each cyclic frequency \( \omega_j \) corresponds a natural period:

\[
T_j = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_j}
\]

(3.12)

In addition, the homogeneous system (3.9) has \( n \) solutions which are the eigenvectors \( \varphi_j \):

\[
\varphi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{1j}, \varphi_{2j}, \ldots, \varphi_{nj} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,n
\]

(3.13)

in correspondence to the \( n \) eigenvalues \( \omega_j^2 \).

The above homogeneous system is solved by an arbitrary selection of one component of the eigenvector \( \varphi_j \) (like the first one \( \varphi_{1j} = c \) or the last one \( \varphi_{nj} = c \)) and therefore the system (3.9) becomes non-homogeneous and from the solution of any subsystem with \( (n-1) \) equations are further calculated the rest \( (n-1) \) components of the \( \varphi_j \).

So, the system (3.1) has \( n \)-independent solutions of the form (3.2):

\[
\mathbf{u}_{jt} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{1j}, \varphi_{2j}, \ldots, \varphi_{nj} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathbf{f}_{jt} = \varphi_j \mathbf{f}_{jt}, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,n
\]

(3.14)

which corresponds to simple harmonic vibrations with cyclic frequencies \( \omega_j \) (or periods \( T_j = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_j} \)) and these are the natural vibrations of the mechanical system.

The above eigenvalues can be classified with increasing order of cyclic frequencies. So, the eigenvalue which belongs to the lowest cyclic frequency \( \omega_i \) and correspondingly to the biggest natural period (fundamental natural period) is known as the fundamental eigenvalue.

4. Dynamic Characteristics for a Multistory Frame Structure Application

Consider a 15-floor building consisting of reinforced concrete and which has six similar frames and hence a level of symmetry parallel to the level of the frames (Fig. 5). The dynamic characteristics of this building will be calculated for an horizontal seismic vibration.

For the above frame structure following data are valid:

\[
L = 5.0m, \quad L_1 = 10.0m, \quad L_2 = 7.0m, \quad h_1 = 4.0m, \quad h_2 = h_3 = \ldots = h_{10} = 3.0m, \quad \text{moment of inertia of all the columns } I = 0.02m^4 \quad (70 \times 70), \quad \text{moment of inertia of } \text{T - beams } J = 0.022m^4 \quad (\text{beams } 30 \times 70),
\]
plus effective width of plate \( b = b_0 + \frac{0.85l}{5} = 0.30 + \frac{0.85 \cdot 5}{5} = 1.15m \), plate thickness = 0.16m),

modulus of elasticity of concrete \( E = 29GPa \), total load = 15 KN / m².

Fig. 5 15-floor building, 6-bay frame

The surface of a typical floor is \( S = 5L(L_1 + L_2) = 510m^2 \) and so the mass of this floor will be (where \( Q \) denotes the weight of the floor):

\[
m = \frac{Q}{g} = \frac{510 \cdot 15}{9.81} = 780,000kg
\]

Consequently, Figure 5b shows the static system of a frame, while Figure 5c shows the corresponding dynamic model of the 15-floor building. Beyond the above, the mass matrix will have the diagonal form (2.10):

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & m_4 & m_5 & m_6 & m_7 & m_8 & m_9 & m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= m \begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} = mM_0
\]
Because of symmetry the stiffness matrix of the building follows by a simple sum of the stiffness matrices \( K_a \) of the six frames. Thus, Fig. 6 shows the direct calculation of the horizontal stiffness matrix of a frame which corresponds to three freedoms of movement of its floors.

For example by enforcing the unity condition:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1 &= 1, \quad u_2 = u_3 = \ldots = u_{15} = 0
\end{align*}
\]  

and applying any static method, by using one kind of finite-elements [Falter: 39], then \( k_{11} \) is calculated as the requested external force for the unity displacement \( u_1 = 1.0m \) of the first floor and \( k_{i1}, i = 1,2,\ldots,15 \) are calculated as the reactions in the node \( i \) of the floor \( i, i = 1,2,\ldots,15 \) because of the unity displacement \( u_i = 1.0m \) of the first floor.

The results of the static calculations are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    k_{11} &= 695,471kN \\
    k_{21} &= -458,457kN \\
    k_{31} &= 22,107kN \\
    k_{41} &= 5599kN \\
    k_{51} &= 131kN \\
    k_{61} &= -219kN \\
    k_{71} &= -14kN \\
    k_{81} &= 7kN \\
    k_{91} &= 1.5kN \\
    k_{101} &= 0.4kN \\
    k_{111} &= 0.5kN \\
    k_{121} &= 0.4kN \\
    k_{131} &= -4kN \\
    k_{141} &= -11kN \\
    k_{151} &= 96kN
\end{align*}
\]  

By the same way and by enforcing the unity conditions \( u_2 = 1, \ u_3 = 1, \ldots, u_{15} = 1 \) are calculated the values of the coefficients of stiffness \( (k_{12}, k_{22}, \ldots, k_{152}), (k_{13}, k_{23}, \ldots, k_{153}), \ldots, (k_{110}, k_{210}, \ldots, k_{1515}) \).

Consequently, the stiffness matrix of the building takes the following form:
From eqn. (4.4) can be seen that the stiffness matrix is symmetrical.

Fig. 6 Direct calculation of the stiffness matrix.
Beyond the above, by using the Jacobi transformations method of a real symmetrical matrix [40], then all eigenvalues are computed. The above method consists of a sequence of orthogonal similarity transformations. Each transformation known as a Jacobi rotation, is only a plane rotation designed to annihilate one of the off-diagonal matrix elements. The successive transformations undo previously set zeros, but the off-diagonal elements are getting smaller and smaller, until the matrix finally becomes diagonal to the computer precision.

So, the eigenvalues which are computed are:

\[ \lambda_1 = 3046 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_2 = 27.872 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_3 = 79.188 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ ,} \]
\[ \lambda_4 = 158,143 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_5 = 266,042 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_6 = 402,322 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ ,} \]
\[ \lambda_7 = 564,379 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_8 = 746,338 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_9 = 939,734 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ ,} \]
\[ \lambda_{10} = 1,134,090 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_{11} = 1,318,720 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_{12} = 1,483,780 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ ,} \]
\[ \lambda_{13} = 1,620,980 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_{14} = 1,723,810 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ , } \lambda_{15} = 1,787,480 \text{ } KN/m^2 \text{ .} \]

For the eigenvalues one has:

\[ \omega_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{m} = 3046 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_1 = 1.98 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_1 = 3.18 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{m} = 27.872 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_2 = 5.98 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_2 = 1.05 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_3 = \frac{\lambda_3}{m} = 79.188 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_3 = 10.08 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_3 = 0.62 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_4 = \frac{\lambda_4}{m} = 158,143 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_4 = 14.24 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_4 = 0.44 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_5 = \frac{\lambda_5}{m} = 266,042 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_5 = 18.47 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_5 = 0.34 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_6 = \frac{\lambda_6}{m} = 402,322 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_6 = 22.71 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_6 = 0.28 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_7 = \frac{\lambda_7}{m} = 564,379 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_7 = 26.90 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_7 = 0.23 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
\[ \omega_8 = \frac{\lambda_8}{m} = 746,338 \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{ } \omega_8 = 30.93 \text{ } \text{rad/sec, } T_8 = 0.20 \text{ } \text{sec} \]
The eigenvectors are further calculated from the homogeneous system of linear equations (3.9), by using the Jacobi transformations method [40]:

\[
(K - \lambda_i M_0) \phi_i = 0
\]

for \( \phi_{15i} = 1.0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 15 \)

So, by solving the above homogeneous system, then next eigenvalues are obtained:
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\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{11} & 0.153 \\
\varphi_{21} & 0.254 \\
\varphi_{31} & 0.349 \\
\varphi_{41} & 0.441 \\
\varphi_{51} & 0.528 \\
\varphi_{61} & 0.611 \\
\varphi_{71} & 0.688 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{12} & -0.442 \\
\varphi_{22} & -0.698 \\
\varphi_{32} & -0.887 \\
\varphi_{42} & -0.997 \\
\varphi_{52} & -1.022 \\
\varphi_{62} & -0.960 \\
\varphi_{72} & -0.816 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{13} & 0.678 \\
\varphi_{23} & 0.971 \\
\varphi_{33} & 1.024 \\
\varphi_{43} & 0.831 \\
\varphi_{53} & 0.441 \\
\varphi_{63} & -0.053 \\
\varphi_{73} & -0.535 \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{14} & 0.349 \\
\varphi_{24} & -0.698 \\
\varphi_{34} & 0.164 \\
\varphi_{44} & 0.729 \\
\varphi_{54} & -1.080 \\
\varphi_{64} & -0.628 \\
\varphi_{74} & 0.291 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{15} & 0.994 \\
\varphi_{25} & 0.930 \\
\varphi_{35} & 0.164 \\
\varphi_{45} & 0.729 \\
\varphi_{55} & -1.080 \\
\varphi_{65} & -0.628 \\
\varphi_{75} & 0.291 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{16} & -1.107 \\
\varphi_{26} & -0.669 \\
\varphi_{36} & 0.502 \\
\varphi_{46} & 1.136 \\
\varphi_{56} & 0.545 \\
\varphi_{66} & -0.634 \\
\varphi_{76} & -1.129 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.8)
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\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_7 &= \begin{bmatrix}
0.284 \\
-1.062 \\
-0.869 \\
0.587 \\
1.190 \\
0.063 \\
-1.155 \\
-0.693 \\
0.777 \\
1.118 \\
-0.166 \\
-1.209 \\
-0.487 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix} = \varphi_8 \\
\varphi_8 &= \begin{bmatrix}
1.209 \\
0.209 \\
1.342 \\
-0.005 \\
1.341 \\
-0.194 \\
1.313 \\
-1.255 \\
-0.575 \\
1.169 \\
0.749 \\
-1.059 \\
-0.898 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix} = \varphi_9 \\
\varphi_9 &= \begin{bmatrix}
-1.303 \\
-1.342 \\
-0.005 \\
-1.341 \\
-0.194 \\
1.313 \\
-1.255 \\
-0.575 \\
1.169 \\
0.749 \\
-1.059 \\
-0.898 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix} = \varphi_9
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\varphi_{10} = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.471 \\
1.412 \\
0.552 \\
-1.768 \\
0.604 \\
1.373 \\
-1.501 \\
-0.392 \\
1.758 \\
-0.756 \\
-1.264 \\
1.581 \\
0.229 \\
-1.722 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix} = \varphi_{11} \\
\varphi_{11} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.540 \\
-2.049 \\
0.557 \\
1.474 \\
-2.067 \\
0.644 \\
1.408 \\
-2.086 \\
0.728 \\
1.340 \\
-2.100 \\
0.811 \\
1.268 \\
-2.102 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix} = \varphi_{12} \\
\varphi_{12} = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.596 \\
2.651 \\
-1.991 \\
0.048 \\
1.927 \\
-2.656 \\
1.668 \\
0.399 \\
-2.208 \\
2.589 \\
-1.295 \\
-0.836 \\
2.425 \\
-2.439 \\
1.000
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The above eigenvalues are giving the final solution of the eigenvalue-eigenvectors problem (3.8). The big practical value of the modal analysis consists on the possibility of expressing of every dynamic behavior of a building as a linear superposition of its eigenvalues.

5. Conclusions

Generally, there is very little known about the procedure which makes earthquakes. Several forces of much different kind try continuously to change the present state of earth. These forces are external, i.e. these which get energy from sources outside the earth (like solar energy, total energy, etc.) and internal, i.e. these which their energy rises from the interior of the earth (for example gravity, the mechanical forces due to the rotation of the earth, the loss of heat, the nuclear energy, etc.).

So, the action of these forces leads to the accumulation of elastic stresses between the masses of the rocks. As the accumulation of these stresses is continuously effected, then the strength of the rocks is overdrawed and hence its equilibrium is disturbed and some sudden changes are created. Consequently, a big part of the dynamic energy due to the stresses is changed to kinetic under the form of seismic wavings.

The most important problem of dynamic analysis is therefore to calculate the motion for each time and for every point of the structure. Thus, by the current research a new dynamic model has been proposed for the solution of the three-dimensional structural analysis problem of a non-linear structure subjected under seismic forces. This problem of dynamic analysis was finally reduced to the solution of a system of ordinary differential equations of the second kind.

Some kind of finite elements were used for the solution of this system of ordinary differential equations and solving the corresponding eigenvalues-eigenvectors problem. An application was finally given to the determination of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a 15-floor building consisting of reinforced concrete and subjected under horizontal seismic vibration. For the calculation of the above eigenvalues and eigenvectors, the Jacobi transformation method of a real symmetric matrix was used. This method consists of a sequence of orthogonal similarity transformations, known as the Jacobi rotations.
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